

# Q2



**SILVER BEAR**  
RESOURCES INC.

UNITED MANAGEMENT STRENGTH WORKING TOGETHER  
CANADA RUSSIA ALIGNED INTERESTS

UNITED MANAGEMENT STRENGTH WORKING TOGETHER  
POTENTIAL CANADA RUSSIA ALIGNED INTERESTS

## SILVER BEAR RESOURCES INC 2010 SECOND QUARTER REPORT





# Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A")

The following Management's Discussion and Analysis, which has been prepared as of August 10, 2010, related to the unaudited consolidated financial results of Silver Bear Resources Inc. (the "Company" or "Silver Bear") for the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010, should be read in conjunction with the December 31, 2009 audited consolidated financial statements and related notes, prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP"). This discussion covers the three and six-month periods ended June 30, 2010. Other pertinent information about the Company is available on SEDAR at [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) as well as on the Company's website at [www.silverbearresources.com](http://www.silverbearresources.com). For the purpose of preparing our MD&A, the Company considers the materiality of information. Information is considered material if: (i) such information results in, or would reasonably be expected to result in, a significant effect in the market price or value of our shares; (ii) there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable investor would consider it important in making an investment decision; or (iii) it would significantly alter the total mix of information available to investors. We evaluate materiality with reference to all relevant circumstances. All dollar amounts are stated in Canadian dollars unless otherwise indicated.

The following discussion contains forward-looking information that involve numerous risks and uncertainties. Actual results of the Company could differ materially from those discussed in such forward-looking information as a result of the risks and uncertainties faced by the Company, including those set forth in this MD&A under "Forward-Looking Information" and under "Risk Factors."

## OVERVIEW

The primary business of the Company is the evaluation, acquisition, exploration and development of precious metal properties. The Company has not yet earned revenue from operations and is considered to be in the exploration stage. The Company's principal asset is its 100%-owned Mangazeisky Project, located approximately 400 kilometres north of Yakutsk in the Republic of Sakha, Yakutia, in the Russian Federation. The Company was granted the exploration license for the Mangazeisky Project in September 2004 for an initial term of five years. On February 18, 2009, the Mangazeisky License was extended by the Federal Subsoil Use Agency in the Russian Federation ("Rosnedra") through December 31, 2011, subject to annual work commitments.

## OUTLOOK

While Silver Bear continues to believe that the Mangazeisky Project hosts an attractive silver deposit with significant potential, the Company is currently evaluating strategic alternatives available in an effort to maximize the return to shareholders on Silver Bear's remaining cash balance. Silver Bear has many strengths: a property with a significant resource and further exploration potential; a strong Russian partner; an experienced management team; cash on hand; and no debt. The challenges presented by the financial markets in 2009 and into 2010 have had a significant impact on exploration stage companies, many of which are faced with challenges in accessing capital. Silver Bear believes that the Company's current assets are key in its pursuit of strategic alternatives which may include: continued exploration/development of the Mangazeisky Project; a joint venture for the Mangazeisky Project; sale of the Project; or a business combination or other strategic transaction with another mineral-focused company. Silver Bear plans to spend approximately \$1.7 million to complete assessment and reconnaissance work at Mangazeisky in 2010, in addition to \$1.6 million in Corporate general and administrative costs.

## RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### Three and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2010, compared to Three and Six-Month Periods Ended June 30, 2009.

#### Revenues

As at June 30, 2010, the Company was in the exploration stage and therefore did not have revenues from operations. Interest income for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, was \$nil as compared to \$0.1 million for the period ended June 30, 2009, a decrease of \$0.1 million. Interest income decreased due to lower cash balances on hand and lower interest rates received on cash deposits. The Company has received, in the second quarter of 2010, a refund of Value Added Tax (VAT) in the Russian Federation from prior periods of \$0.5 million. There was no refund for the six month period ending June 30, 2009.

Interest income for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil, unchanged from the three-month period ended June 30, 2009. The Company has received, in the second quarter of 2010, a refund of VAT in the Russian Federation from prior periods of \$0.5 million. There was no refund for the three month period ending June 30, 2009.

#### Expenses

##### Exploration

For the six-month period ended June 30, 2010, Silver Bear spent \$0.9 million on exploration activities, compared with \$5.3 million during the same period in 2009. Costs associated with the Mangazeisky Project for the period ended June 30, 2010 relate to the 2010 program as well as costs related to the Company's Yakutsk administrative office. Exploration expenses decreased when compared to the 2009 period as Silver Bear is focusing on completing assessment and reconnaissance work in 2010, which requires fewer people and equipment compared to the prior period in which Silver Bear had commenced a drill program with increased costs associated with supplying a camp and drill program.

For the three-month period ended June 30, 2010, Silver Bear spent \$0.3 million on exploration activities, compared with \$2.7 million during the same period in 2009. Exploration expenses decreased when compared to the 2009 period for the same reasons as noted above.

##### General and Administrative

General and administrative expenses for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.9 million, compared with \$1.4 million in the 2009 period. General and administrative expenses decreased primarily as a result of a \$0.2 million reduction in management salaries and a reduction of \$0.3 million in other general and administrative costs for the period ended June 30, 2010.

General and administrative expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.5 million (three-month period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.7 million). Management salaries for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.2 million (three-month period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.3 million). In addition, for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010, Silver Bear spent \$0.2 million on professional fees (three-month period ended June 30, 2009 – \$nil). Other general and administrative expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.1 million (three month period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.4 million).

##### Non-Cash Items

Non-cash stock option compensation expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$0.1 million compared with \$0.3 million for the period ended June 30, 2009. Stock option compensation expense has decreased compared to 2009 as options have become fully vested or have been surrendered.

Amortization expense for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$0.4 million (period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.4 million), as we continue to amortize our camp facilities, warehouse facilities and service vehicles. The foreign exchange loss for the period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil (period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.4 million) as a result of the translation to Canadian dollars of the financial results of consolidated foreign subsidiaries, as the Ruble/Canadian dollar exchange rate did not materially change since December 31, 2009.

Non-cash stock option compensation expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil compared with \$0.2 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2009.

Amortization expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$0.2 million, (three-month period ended June 30, 2009 – \$0.2 million). The foreign exchange loss for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil (three-month period ended June 30, 2009 was \$0.1 million) as a result of the translation to Canadian dollars of the financial results of consolidated foreign subsidiaries, for the same reasons as stated in the six month periods.

**Net Loss**

Silver Bear incurred a net loss for the six-month period ended June 30, 2010 of \$1.7 million, or \$0.04 per share. This compares to a loss of \$7.8 million or \$0.21 per share for the period ended June 30, 2009. Exploration costs were \$0.9 million in the period ended June 30, 2010 compared with \$5.3 million in the period ended June 30, 2009, as the Company benefited from reduced overhead. General and administrative expenses for the period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.9 million compared with \$1.4 million in the prior period as the Company reduced corporate office and salary related costs. Non-cash items for the period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.5 million compared with \$1.2 million in the period ended June 30, 2009. Interest income for the period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil compared with \$0.1 million in the prior year period.

Silver Bear incurred a net loss for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 of \$0.5 million or \$0.01 per share. This compares to a loss of \$3.8 million or \$0.10 per share for the three-month period ended June 30, 2009. Exploration costs were \$0.3 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 compared with \$2.7 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2009. Non-cash stock option compensation expense for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 was \$nil compared with \$0.2 million in the three-month period ended June 30, 2009. General and administrative expenses for the three-month period ended June 30, 2010 were \$0.5 million compared with \$0.7 million in the three month-period ended June 30, 2009.

**Liquidity and Capital Resources**

At June 30, 2010, Silver Bear had cash and cash equivalents of \$11.0 million. The Company has no debt outstanding. Silver Bear anticipates that it has a sufficient amount of cash and cash equivalents to meet its short term objectives. Additional funding may be required in the longer term.

**Commitments**

In order to maintain the exploration license at the Mangazeisky Project in good standing, Silver Bear is required to conduct certain minimum levels of exploration activity. The exploration program concluded in 2009 more than satisfies the commitments established in the extended license agreement for Mangazeisky for the year 2010.

The Company may be involved in legal proceedings from time to time, arising in the ordinary course of its business. Typically, the amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not, in the opinion of management, materially affect Silver Bear's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. There were no material outstanding legal proceedings as of June 30, 2010.

In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against us or unasserted claims that may result in such proceedings, the Company and its legal counsel evaluate the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought. If the assessment of a contingency suggests that a loss is probable, and the amount can be reliably estimated, then a loss is recorded. When a contingent loss is not probable but is reasonably possible, or it is probable but the amount cannot be reliably estimated, then details of the contingent loss are disclosed. Loss contingencies considered remote are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case we disclose the nature of the guarantee. Legal fees incurred with pending legal proceeding are expensed as incurred.

**Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Capital Stock

As at August 10, 2010, the Company had issued and outstanding 37,935,569 Common Shares (December 31, 2009 – 37,935,569).

As at June 30, 2010, the Company had share options outstanding and expiring as follows:

Expiring during the year	Outstanding		Exercisable	
	Number	Weighted average exercise price \$	Number	Weighted average exercise price \$
2010	25,000	3.00	25,000	3.00
2011	8,333	0.28	8,333	0.28
2013	650,000	0.28	216,662	0.28
	683,333	0.38	249,995	0.55

In order for Silver Bear to make options available for future grants and for other strategic alternatives, the Company asked holders of out-of-the-money options to voluntarily surrender their options back to Silver Bear. Effective January 22, 2010 option holders voluntarily surrendered 2,861,659 options back to the Company. A total of 3,316,667 options are available for issue in the future under its option plan.

### Summary of Quarterly Results (\$)

	Jun-10	Mar-10	Dec-09	Sep-09
Net Loss	<b>(527,659)</b>	<b>(1,174,685)</b>	<b>(1,847,058)</b>	<b>(3,647,977)</b>
Basic and diluted loss per share	<b>(0.01)</b>	<b>(0.03)</b>	<b>(0.04)</b>	<b>(0.10)</b>
Cash and cash equivalents	<b>11,034,572</b>	<b>11,691,153</b>	<b>12,320,095</b>	<b>13,179,442</b>
Total assets	<b>15,575,266</b>	<b>16,084,311</b>	<b>17,226,703</b>	<b>19,190,361</b>
Total long-term financial liabilities	<b>627,733</b>	<b>618,229</b>	<b>608,725</b>	<b>599,225</b>
	Jun-09	Mar-08	Dec-08	Sep-08
Net Loss	(3,806,528)	(3,985,866)	(4,038,651)	(8,314,892)
Basic and diluted loss per share	(0.10)	(0.11)	(0.11)	(0.22)
Cash and cash equivalents	17,076,808	19,347,148	24,170,023	28,669,371
Total assets	24,033,044	26,936,190	30,783,897	35,978,824
Total long-term financial liabilities	589,717	580,215	570,711	577,814

### ***Related Party Transactions***

Silver Bear shares premises with New Gold, Inc. (NGD) and as a result a cost sharing agreement exists between Silver Bear and NGD. NGD charges Silver Bear for our proportional share of office costs under the cost sharing agreement. At June 30, 2010, \$nil (2009 – \$9,992) was receivable from NGD for items paid by Silver Bear and billed to NGD. At June 30, 2010, \$12,762 (2009 – \$40,848) was payable to NGD for items paid by NGD and billed to Silver Bear.

### **INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, are responsible for the design and effectiveness of internal controls over financial reporting to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of the financial statements in accordance with the accounting principles generally accepted in Canada. Based on an assessment by management, with participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of its internal control procedures, as at the end of the period covered by this MD&A, the Company has concluded its internal controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that financial information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner.

### **DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that all relevant information is gathered and reported to senior management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, on a timely basis so that appropriate decisions can be made regarding public disclosure. The Company's system of disclosure controls and procedures includes, but is not limited to, our Code of Ethics, Disclosure and Trading policies, our Whistle Blower policy, our Information Technology policy, our Delegation of Authority policy, the effective functioning of our Audit Committee and procedures in place to systematically identify matters warranting consideration of disclosure by the Audit Committee.

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as at the end of the period covered by this MD&A, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective to provide reasonable assurance that the information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports it files is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the appropriate time periods.

### **CHANGES TO INTERNAL CONTROLS OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING**

There have been no significant changes to the Company's internal controls over financial reporting that occurred during the most recent period ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

## **CHANGES TO DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES**

There have been no significant changes to the Company's disclosure controls and procedures that occurred during the most recent period ended June 30, 2010 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company's disclosure controls and procedures.

## **INTERNATIONAL FINANCIAL REPORTING STANDARDS (IFRS)**

In February 2008, the CICA Accounting Standards Board confirmed that Canadian GAAP for publicly accountable enterprises will be converged with IFRS in calendar year 2011. IFRS uses a conceptual framework similar to Canadian GAAP, but there may be significant differences in recognition, measurement and disclosure that may materially impact the Company's financial statements.

The Company will transition to IFRS effective January 1, 2011 and intends to issue its first interim financial statements under IFRS for the three-month period ending March 31, 2011 and a complete set of financial statements under IFRS for the year ending December 31, 2011. The transition date of January 1, 2011 will require the restatement for comparative purposes of amounts reported by the Company for the financial year ended December 31, 2010.

The Company expects the transition to IFRS will impact accounting, financial reporting, internal controls over financial reporting, taxes, information systems, and processes.

The Company has formalized the project team and required resources, outlined the governance structure including definition of reporting requirements to, among others, the Audit Committee, and defined an IFRS conversion plan in three separate and distinct phases: Diagnostic, Design, and Implementation.

*Diagnostic phase* – consists of preliminary scoping and high-level assessment of key areas of Canadian GAAP-IFRS differences that are most likely to impact the Company. This assessment was completed in the first quarter of 2010. Key areas of impact for the Company are, among others: IFRS 1 – first time adoption, foreign currency, property, plant and equipment, asset retirement obligations, impairment of assets, presentation of financial statements and share-based payment transactions. Other areas were classified into areas with medium to low importance/impact on the Company's financials or those that will probably have no impact at all. Due to the ongoing changes to IFRS, the final impact of the new accounting standards on the Company's consolidated financial statements can only be measured once all the reporting and accounting standards at the conversion day are known.

*Design phase* – commenced at the end of the first quarter of 2010, involves the detailed analysis and assessment from the accounting, reporting, and business perspective of the changes that will be caused by the conversion to IFRS. Deliverables on this stage are technical papers that detail differences between Canadian GAAP and IFRS in the areas identified in the Diagnostic phase, financial and non-financial reporting impacts, and disclosure and IFRS 1 transitional election considerations. This will provide rationale for accounting policy choices and support for the next implementation phase.

### **A. Reclamation and Closure Cost Obligation**

Unlike Canadian GAAP, IFRS does not have a specific standard with guidance on accounting for site restoration and rehabilitation liabilities. The IFRS guidance on restoration provisions exists in the general provisions standard IAS 37 – Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets. Under IFRS, not only legal obligations are recognized, as it is in Canadian GAAP, but also constructive obligations that derive from an entity's actions where: (a) by an established pattern of past practice, published policies or a sufficiently specific current statement, the entity has indicated to other parties that it will accept certain responsibilities; and (b) as a result, the entity has created a valid expectation on the part of those other parties that it will discharge those responsibilities.

Currently neither the Company nor its subsidiaries have any constructive obligations with regard to rehabilitation of the Mangazeisky site.



Canadian GAAP requires the use of a current credit-adjusted risk-free discount rate for upward adjustments to asset retirement obligations and the original credit-adjusted risk-free rate for downward revisions. IFRS requires discounting the cash flows associated with the settlement of the obligation using a pre-tax discount rate which reflects current assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the liability.

Unlike Canadian GAAP, IFRS requires re-measurement of liability at each reporting date. The Company will need to implement procedures to allow for the re-measurement of liability at each balance sheet date. Under IFRS, the unwinding of the discount in subsequent periods is presented as an interest expense, while under Canadian GAAP it is considered an operating expense.

The Company is currently reviewing its legal and constructive obligations with regard to closure and rehabilitation of the Mangazeisky site and is expecting to quantify the transition to IFRS impact in the third quarter of 2010.

#### ***B. Property, plant and equipment ("PP&E")***

Under IFRS, PP&E can be measured at fair value or at cost, while under Canadian GAAP, the Company has to carry PP&E on a cost basis and revaluation is not allowed. Upon adoption of IFRS the Company will elect a cost model as its accounting policy choice.

According to IAS 16 – Property, plant and equipment, when an item of property, plant and equipment comprises individual components for which different depreciation method or rates are appropriate, each component is accounted for separately (component accounting). The Company is currently conducting a review of property plant and equipment to determine which assets should be accounted as separate items and what their estimated life and residual values are. The annual revision of residual value will result in adjustments to fully depreciated assets that are still in use.

No significant material impact is expected on the Company's financial statements, due to the low number of options outstanding.

#### ***C. Share Based Payments***

IFRS and Canadian GAAP largely converge on the accounting treatment for share based transactions with only a few differences. Canadian GAAP allows both an accelerated method of amortization for the fair value of stock options under graded vesting as well as a straight line method, while IFRS allows only the accelerated method. Under IFRS, the estimate for forfeitures must be made when determining the number of equity instruments expected to vest, while under Canadian GAAP forfeitures can be recognized as they occurred.

There is no material impact expected on the Company's financial statements.

#### ***D. Foreign Currency***

IFRS utilizes the functional currency concept and requires that the functional currency of each entity in the consolidated group be determined separately in accordance with the guidance detailed in IAS 21 and the entity's financial results, and the foreign currency position should be measured using the currency of the primary economic environment in which the entity operates. Currently, the functional currency of the consolidated Company is the Canadian dollar (CAD) which is also the reporting currency of the Company's financial statements. The Company is reviewing the primary and secondary indicators as outlined in IAS 21 to determine the functional currency for each of its entities under IFRS.

### ***E. IFRS 1 – First time adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards***

IFRS provides entities adopting IFRS for the first time with a number of optional exemptions and mandatory exceptions, in certain areas, to the general requirements for full retrospective application of IFRS.

The Company expects to apply the following exemptions to its opening IFRS balance sheet dated January 1, 2010:

#### ***Decommissioning Liabilities (Reclamation and closure cost obligations).***

IFRS 1 allows the Company not to retrospectively recalculate the decommissioning liability and the impact on the cost of related asset and accumulated depreciation.

The Company intends to elect this exemption and by doing this will be required to: measure the Asset Retirement Obligation (ARO) liability at the date of transition to IFRS in accordance with IAS 37 – Provisions, Contingent Liabilities and Contingent Assets; estimate the amount that would have been included in the cost of the related asset when the liability first arose, by discounting the liability to that date using the best estimate of the historical risk adjusted discount rates that would have applied for the liability over the intervening period; and calculate the accumulated depreciation on the amount at the date of transition to IFRS, on the basis of the current estimate of the useful life of the asset, using the depreciation policy adopted under IFRS. The effect of any resulting changes from Canadian GAAP will be recognized in the opening IFRS statement of financial position in assets and retained earnings.

#### **Deemed cost**

IFRS 1 allows an entity to initially measure an item of property, plant and equipment upon transition to IFRS at fair value on the date of transition. The Company intends to use this exemption.

#### **Cumulative Translation Differences**

IFRS 1 allows the Company to set balances of all cumulative translation differences for all foreign operations to zero and avoid any adjustments to the balance which would be required as a result of the IFRS transition adjustments of foreign operations. The Company intends to utilize this exemption and reset all cumulative translation differences to zero on transition to IFRS.

***Implementation phase*** – involves implementing all of the required changes to business and accounting processes that are necessary for IFRS compliance.

The Company will continue to monitor its progress and results from the existing conversion plan, as well as ongoing changes to IFRS, and adjust its transition and implementation plans accordingly.

#### **IFRS and Financial Information Systems**

Management does not anticipate any significant changes to its Financial Information Systems as a result of IFRS, but will continue to monitor and assess these systems as the project continues.

#### **Internal Controls and Disclosure Controls and Procedures under IFRS**

One of the more significant impacts identified to date of adopting IFRS is the expanded presentation and disclosure required. Disclosure under IFRS generally requires more breadth and depth than currently required under Canadian GAAP and as a result more extensive note references are required. The Company will continue to review the level of presentation and disclosure required as well as review internal controls required for financial reporting.

## **RISK FACTORS**

The operations of the Company are speculative due to the high-risk nature of its business which is the acquisition, financing, exploration, development and operation of mining properties. For a discussion of risk factors and additional information please refer to the Company's 2009 Annual MD&A, The 2009 Annual Information Form and other filings, which are available on the Company's website [www.silverbearresources.com](http://www.silverbearresources.com) and on [www.sedar.com](http://www.sedar.com) or upon request from the Company. The risk factors described are not the only ones facing the Company. Additional risks currently not known to the Company or that the Company considers immaterial may also impair the business operations of the Company. These risk factors could materially affect the Company's future operating results and could cause actual events to differ materially from those described in forward-looking statements relating to the Company. If any such risks actually occur, the Company's business, financial condition and operating results could be materially affected. In such case, the trading price of the common shares of the Company would likely decline and the holders of common shares of the Company could lose all or part of their investment.

## **FORWARD-LOOKING INFORMATION**

This MD&A contains certain forward-looking information relating to, but not limited to, the Company's expectations, estimates, intentions, plans and beliefs. Forward-looking information can often be identified by forward-looking words such as "anticipate", "believe", "expect", "goal", "plan", "intend", "budget", "estimate", "may" and "will" or similar words suggesting future outcomes, or other expectations, beliefs, plans, objectives, assumptions, intentions or statements about future events or performance. Forward-looking information may include reserve and resource estimates, estimates of future production, unit costs, costs of capital projects and timing of commencement of operations, and is based on current expectations that are inherently subject to a number of business and economic risks and uncertainties and contingencies. Forward-looking information involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from any forward-looking information. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to: failure to establish estimated resources and reserves; the grade and recovery of ore which is mined varying from estimates; capital and operating costs varying significantly from estimates; delays in obtaining or failures to obtain required governmental, environmental or other project approvals; changes in national and local government legislation, taxation or regulations; political or economic developments; inflation; changes in currency exchange rates; fluctuations in commodity prices; delays in the development of projects; challenges from governmental authorities of Silver Bear's validity of the title to its Russian assets; and other risk factors as disclosed herein and in other documentation filed by the Company on SEDAR. All forward-looking information in this MD&A is qualified by these cautionary statements.

Potential shareholders and prospective investors should be aware that this information is subject to known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those suggested by the forward-looking information. Shareholders are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking information. By its nature, forward-looking information involves numerous assumptions, inherent risks and uncertainties, both general and specific, that contribute to the possibility that the predictions, forecasts, projections and various future events will not occur. The Company disclaims any intention or obligation to update publicly or otherwise revise any forward-looking information whether as a result of new information, future events or other such factors which affect this information, except as required by applicable laws.

# Consolidated Balance Sheets

(Canadian dollars)  
(unaudited)

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,034,572	\$ 12,320,095
Receivables (note 16)	486,306	355,438
Inventories (note 4)	1,186,090	1,226,195
Prepaid expenses (note 5)	24,533	90,677
	<b>12,731,501</b>	13,992,405
<b>Capital assets</b>		
Mineral property (note 6)	1,265,117	1,265,117
Property, plant and equipment (note 7)	1,578,648	1,969,181
	<b>\$ 15,575,266</b>	<b>\$ 17,226,703</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities (note 8)	318,724	352,298
<b>Long-term liabilities</b>		
Asset retirement obligation (note 14)	627,733	608,725
<b>Shareholders' equity</b>		
<b>Capital Stock (note 9)</b>	<b>73,771,289</b>	73,771,289
<b>Contributed surplus</b>	<b>9,041,160</b>	8,975,687
<b>Deficit</b>	<b>(68,183,640)</b>	(66,481,296)
	<b>14,628,809</b>	16,265,680
	<b>\$ 15,575,266</b>	<b>\$ 17,226,703</b>

**Going concern (note 1)**

**Commitments and contingency (note 12)**

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements

# Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Loss and Deficit

(Canadian dollars)  
(unaudited)

	Three-month periods ended		Six-month periods ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
<b>Income</b>				
Interest income	17,210	17,389	27,567	73,834
Other Income (note 15)	502,453	–	502,453	–
	<b>\$ 519,663</b>	<b>\$ 17,389</b>	<b>\$ 530,020</b>	<b>\$ 73,834</b>
<b>Expenses</b>				
Exploration costs	325,408	2,686,238	879,206	5,268,054
General and administrative	493,256	687,342	876,587	1,418,341
Stock option compensation (note 9)	12,623	153,673	65,473	345,415
Amortization	202,209	194,267	390,533	374,586
Accretion expense	9,504	9,505	19,008	19,006
Gain on disposal of property, plant and equipment	–	11,631	(1,922)	11,631
Foreign exchange loss (gain)	4,322	81,261	3,479	429,197
Expenses from operations	<b>1,047,322</b>	<b>3,823,917</b>	<b>2,232,364</b>	<b>7,866,230</b>
<b>Loss and Comprehensive Loss for the period from operations</b>	<b>(527,659)</b>	<b>(3,806,528)</b>	<b>(1,702,344)</b>	<b>(7,792,396)</b>
<b>Net Loss</b>	<b>(527,659)</b>	<b>(3,806,528)</b>	<b>(1,702,344)</b>	<b>(7,792,396)</b>
<b>Deficit – Beginning of the period</b>	<b>\$ (67,655,981)</b>	<b>\$ (57,179,733)</b>	<b>\$ (66,481,296)</b>	<b>\$ (53,193,868)</b>
<b>Deficit – End of the period</b>	<b>(68,183,640)</b>	<b>(60,986,261)</b>	<b>(68,183,640)</b>	<b>(60,986,264)</b>
<b>Weighted average number of common shares outstanding</b>	<b>37,935,569</b>	<b>37,935,569</b>	<b>37,935,569</b>	<b>37,935,569</b>
<b>Loss per share</b>	<b>(0.01)</b>	<b>(0.10)</b>	<b>(0.04)</b>	<b>(0.21)</b>

## Going concern (note 1)

See accompanying notes to interim consolidated financial statements

# Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

(Canadian dollars)  
(unaudited)

	Three-month periods ended		Six-month periods ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
<b>Cash provided by (used in)</b>				
<b>Operating activities</b>				
Net Loss from operations	\$ (527,659)	\$ (3,806,528)	\$ (1,702,344)	\$ (7,792,396)
Items not affecting cash:				
Amortization	202,209	194,267	390,533	374,586
Accretion expense	9,504	9,505	19,008	19,006
Stock option compensation	12,623	153,673	65,473	345,415
Loss on disposal of property, plant and equipment	-	11,631	(1,922)	11,631
Net change in non-cash working capital (note 11)	(353,258)	1,184,205	(58,193)	179,103
Net cash used in operations	(656,581)	(2,253,247)	(1,287,445)	(6,862,655)
<b>Investing activities</b>				
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment	-	(17,093)	-	(230,560)
Proceeds from sale of property, plant and equipment	-	-	1,922	-
	-	(17,093)	1,922	(230,560)
<b>Decrease in cash and cash equivalents during the period</b>	<b>(656,581)</b>	<b>(2,270,340)</b>	<b>(1,285,523)</b>	<b>(7,093,215)</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents – beginning of the period</b>	<b>11,691,153</b>	<b>19,347,148</b>	<b>12,320,095</b>	<b>24,170,023</b>
<b>Cash and cash equivalents – end of the period</b>	<b>\$ 11,034,572</b>	<b>\$ 17,076,808</b>	<b>\$ 11,034,572</b>	<b>\$ 17,076,808</b>

# Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

*(For the periods ended June 30, 2010 and 2009)  
(Unaudited)*

## **1. NATURE OF OPERATIONS AND GOING CONCERN**

Silver Bear Resources Inc. (the "Company" or "Silver Bear") was incorporated under the Business Corporations Act of the Province of Ontario, Canada, on April 8, 2004 and continued under Articles of Continuance dated August 30, 2004 under the Business Corporations Act (Yukon) and February 1, 2005 under the Business Corporations Act (Ontario). The primary business of the Company is the evaluation, acquisition, exploration and development of precious metal properties. The principal asset of the Company is the project described in Note 6. The exploration strategy of the Company is to focus on the discovery of precious metal deposits. To date, Silver Bear has not earned revenue from operations and is considered to be in the exploration stage.

As at June 30, 2010, the Company has no source of operating cash flows. The Company's ability to meet its obligations and continue as a going concern is dependent on the ability to identify and complete future funding.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with Canadian generally accepted accounting principles applicable to a going concern which contemplates that the Company will be able to realize its assets and settle its liabilities in the normal course as they come due for the foreseeable future. As at June 30, 2010, the Company had no source of operating cash flows and reported a loss for the period then ended of \$1,702,344 and an accumulated deficit of \$68,183,640. In order to fund future operations, maintain rights under licenses and agreements and to advance the project, the Company must secure sufficient future funding. In these circumstances, there exists significant doubt as to the ability of the Company to meet its obligations as they come due and, accordingly, as to the appropriateness of the use of accounting principles applicable to a going concern. The Company secured funding through an initial public offering of its common shares in December 2007, and an over-allotment option that was completed on January 18, 2008 for aggregate gross proceeds of \$32,091,239. On July 16, 2008, the Company also completed a private placement of 1,500,000 common shares for aggregate gross proceeds of \$4,500,000; the funds were used to meet the Company's exploration requirements and contractual obligations and to continue as a going concern. While the Company has been successful in raising financing in the past, there can be no assurance that it will be able to do so in the future.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements do not include adjustments or disclosures that may result should the Company not be able to continue as a going concern. If the going concern assumption were not appropriate for these consolidated financial statements, then adjustments would be necessary in the carrying value of assets and liabilities, and the reported net loss and balance sheet classifications used. These adjustments could be material.

## **2. BASIS OF PRESENTATION**

These unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in Canada.

These unaudited consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and its 100% owned subsidiaries: Silver Bear Holdings Limited (a Barbados corporation) ("Holdings"), and ZAO Prognoz (a Russian Federation corporation). These unaudited consolidated interim financial statements include the assets and liabilities of the Company as at June 30, 2010 and its results of operations and its cash flows for the period ended June 30, 2010. All significant inter-company accounts and transactions have been eliminated on consolidation.

## **3. CAPITAL MANAGEMENT**

The Company manages its capital structure and makes adjustments to it, based on the funds available to the Company, in order to support the acquisition and exploration of precious metal properties. The Board of Directors does not establish quantitative return on capital criteria for management, but rather relies on the expertise of management to sustain future development of the business.

The property in which the Company currently has an interest is in the exploration stage; as such the Company is dependent on external financing to fund ongoing activities. In order to carry out the planned exploration and pay for administrative costs, the Company will spend existing working capital and raise additional amounts as needed. The Company will continue to assess new properties and seek to acquire an interest in additional properties where sufficient geologic or economic potential are noted and if financial resources exist to do so.

Management reviews its capital management approach on an ongoing basis and believes that this approach, given the relative size of the Company, is reasonable.

There were no changes in the Company's approach to capital management during the period ended June 30, 2010 compared to the year ended December 31, 2009. Neither the Company nor its subsidiaries is subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

## **FINANCIAL RISK FACTORS**

The Company's risk exposures and the impact on the Company's financial instruments are summarized below:

### **Credit risk**

The Company has no significant concentration of credit risk arising from operations. Cash equivalents consist of interest earning bank accounts, which are invested with Canadian chartered banks and management believes the risk of loss to be remote. Miscellaneous receivables consist of taxes due from the Federal Government of Canada, and value added tax refunds in the Russian Federation. Management believes that the credit risk concentration with respect to accounts receivable is low

### **Liquidity risk**

The Company's approach to managing liquidity risk is to ensure it will have sufficient liquidity to meet liabilities when due. As at June 30, 2010, the Company had a cash balance of \$11,034,572, (December 31, 2009 – \$12,320,095) to settle current liabilities of \$318,724 (December 31, 2009 – \$352,298), as well as its commitments outlined in Note 12.

### **Interest rate risk**

The Company has cash balances and no interest-bearing debt. The Company's current policy is to invest excess cash in interest-earning bank accounts with Canadian financial intuitions. The Company periodically monitors the investments it makes and is satisfied with the credit ratings of its banks.

### **Foreign currency risk**

The Company's functional currency is the Canadian dollar. The Company funds certain exploration and administrative expenses on a transaction by transaction basis using U.S. dollar and Russian ruble currency converted from its Canadian dollar bank accounts held in Canada. Management believes the foreign exchange risk derived from currency conversions is negligible and therefore does not hedge its foreign exchange risk.

### **Sensitivity analysis**

The Company's cash and cash equivalents are measured at fair value. Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are classified as other financial liabilities, which are measured at amortized cost. Sensitivity to a plus 1% change in interest rates would have affected the net loss for the year by a reduction of \$15,850; a minus 0.4% change in interest rates would have increased the net loss for the year by \$27,567 as deposits currently earn less than 1%.

The carrying amount of accounts receivable equals fair market value.

Sensitivity to a plus or minus 1% change in the foreign exchange rate would affect net loss by \$17,800 with all other variables held constant. Price risk is remote since the Company is not a producing entity.

## **New Accounting Standards**

### **Business Combinations and Related Sections**

In January 2009, the Canadian Institute of Chartered Accountants ("CICA") issued Section 1582 "Business Combinations" to replace Section 1581. Prospective application of the standard is effective January 1, 2011, with early adoption permitted. This new standard effectively harmonizes the business combinations standard under Canadian GAAP with International Financial Reporting Standards ("IFRS"). The new standard revises guidance on the determination of the carrying amount of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed, goodwill and accounting for non-controlling interests at the time of a business combination.

The CICA concurrently issued Section 1601 "Consolidated Financial Statements" and Section 1602 "Non Controlling Interests," which replace Section 1600 "Consolidated Financial Statements." Section 1601 provides revised guidance on the preparation of consolidated financial statements and Section 1602 addresses accounting for non-controlling interests in consolidated financial statements subsequent to a business combination. These standards are effective January 1, 2011, unless they are early adopted at the same time as Section 1582 "Business Combinations".



#### 4. INVENTORIES

Material and supplies inventories are stated at the lower of average cost or net realizable value.

Inventories consist of the following:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	\$	\$
Fuel and lubricants	\$ 525,538	\$ 546,610
Parts and Supplies	660,552	679,585
	<b>\$ 1,186,090</b>	<b>\$ 1,226,195</b>

#### 5. PREPAID EXPENSES

Prepaid expenses consists of the following:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	\$	\$
Exploration Services	\$ 19,380	\$ 49,111
Rent	2,330	-
Other	2,823	41,566
	<b>\$ 24,533</b>	<b>\$ 90,677</b>

#### 6. MINERAL PROPERTY

Mineral property includes the cost of acquiring exploration and mining licenses.

Mineral property consists of the following:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	\$	\$
Mangazeisky – exploration license	\$ 1,265,117	\$ 1,265,117

The Company acquired the exploration licence in respect of the Mangazeisky property when it acquired all the shares of ZAO Prognoz on October 21, 2004.

The following disclosure provides cumulative exploration costs pursuant to CICA Accounting Guideline 11 "Enterprises in the Development Stage".

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	\$	\$
Mangazeisky	\$ 34,538,104	\$ 33,658,898
Russian management costs	1,563,283	1,563,283
Corporate costs related to exploration activities	477,411	477,411
	<b>\$ 36,578,798</b>	<b>\$ 35,699,592</b>

## 7. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT

Property, plant and equipment are carried at cost, less accumulated amortization.

Property, plant and equipment consist of the following:

	June 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Net book value	Cost	Accumulated amortization	Net book value
Property plant and equipment						
Mangazeisky site	\$ 5,282,705	\$ 3,786,641	\$ 1,496,064	\$ 5,282,704	\$ 3,434,782	\$ 1,847,922
Yakutsk office	161,561	123,019	38,542	165,614	111,748	53,866
Other office furniture, equipment and leasehold improvements	441,666	397,624	44,042	455,073	387,680	67,393
	<b>\$ 5,885,932</b>	<b>\$ 4,307,284</b>	<b>\$ 1,578,648</b>	\$ 5,903,391	\$ 3,934,210	\$ 1,969,181

## 8. ACCOUNTS PAYABLE AND ACCRUED LIABILITIES

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities consist of the following:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Exploration costs – Mangazeisky project	\$ 58,683	\$ 70,219
Corporate – accounts payable and accrued liabilities	260,041	282,079
	<b>\$ 318,724</b>	\$ 352,298

## 9. SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

### Common shares

Authorized:

Unlimited number of common shares and preference shares issued:

	June 30, 2010		December 31, 2009	
	Number of Common Shares	\$	Number of Common Shares	\$
Balance – Beginning of period	37,935,569	73,771,289	37,935,569	73,771,289
Balance – End of period	37,935,569	73,771,289	37,935,569	73,771,289

### Stock Options

The Company has a stock option plan which is intended to provide an incentive to officers, employees, directors and consultants of the Company. Stock options are granted from time to time and the option price is determined by the Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors at its sole discretion but shall not be less than the closing price of the Company's common stock on the Toronto Stock Exchange two trading days after the date of the grant. The term of each option is granted for a period not exceeding five years from the date of the grant. Except as expressly provided for in the option holder's employment, consulting or termination contract, the option holder may exercise the option to the extent exercisable on the date of such termination at any time within twelve months after the date of termination.

In May 2008, the Board of Directors approved an increase of 958,333 options to the stock option plan bringing the total options available to issue to 4,000,000. As at June 30, 2010 the Company had 683,333 options outstanding.

In order for Silver Bear to make options available for future grants and for other strategic alternatives, the Company asked option holders of out-of-the-money options to voluntarily surrender their options back to Silver Bear. On January 22, 2010, option holders voluntarily surrendered 2,861,659 options back to the Company. A total of 3,316,667 options are available for future issue.

	Six months ended June 30, 2010		Year ended December 31, 2009	
	Number	Exercise price \$	Number	Exercise price \$
Balance – Beginning of the period	3,561,659	2.86	3,766,659	2.72
Surrendered	(2,861,659)	3.36	–	–
Expired/Cancelled/Forfeited	(16,667)	(0.28)	(205,000)	0.28
Balance – End of the period	683,333	0.38	3,561,659	2.86

As at June 30, 2010, the Company had share options outstanding and expiring as follows:

Expiring during the year	Outstanding		Exercisable	
	Number	Weighted average exercise price	Number	Weighted average exercise price
2010	25,000	3.00	25,000	3.00
2011	8,333	0.28	8,333	0.28
2013	650,000	0.28	216,662	0.28
	683,333	0.38	249,995	0.55

Contributed surplus consists of the following:

	June 30, 2010 \$	December 31, 2009 \$
Balance – Beginning of the period	\$ 8,975,687	\$ 8,621,876
Stock option compensation	65,473	353,811
Balance – End of the period	\$ 9,041,160	\$ 8,975,687

### Loss per share

As a result of net losses in each of the periods, the potential effect of exercising stock options and warrants has not been included in the calculation of loss per share because to do so would be anti-dilutive.

## 10. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTION

Silver Bear shares premises with New Gold, Inc. (NGD) and as a result a cost sharing agreement exists between Silver Bear and NGD. NGD charges Silver Bear for our proportional share of office costs under the cost sharing agreement. At June 30, 2010, \$nil (2009 – \$9,992) was receivable from NGD for items paid by Silver Bear and billed to NGD. At June 30, 2010, \$12,762 (2009 – \$40,848) was payable to NGD for items paid by NGD and billed to Silver Bear.

## 11. NET CHANGE IN NON-CASH WORKING CAPITAL

Net change in non-cash working capital consists of the following:

	Three-months ended		Six-month ended	
	June 30, 2010	June 30 2009	June 30, 2010	June 30, 2009
Inventories	\$ 26,523	\$ 250,301	\$ 40,105	\$ (672,904)
Prepaid expenses	47,021	149,842	66,144	116,746
Receivables	(423,269)	43,851	(130,868)	58,137
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	(3,533)	740,211	(33,574)	677,124
	\$ (353,258)	\$ 1,184,205	\$ (58,193)	\$ 179,103

## 12. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

In order to maintain the exploration license at the Mangazeisky Project in good standing, Silver Bear is required to conduct certain minimum levels of exploration activity. The exploration program concluded in 2009 more than satisfies the commitments established in the License Agreement for the year.

The Company may be involved in legal proceedings from time to time, arising in the ordinary course of its business. The amount of ultimate liability with respect to these actions will not, in the opinion of management, materially affect Silver Bear's financial position, results of operations or cash flows. There were no material outstanding legal proceedings as of June 30, 2010.

In assessing loss contingencies related to legal proceedings that are pending against us or unasserted claims that may result in such proceedings, the Company and its legal counsel evaluate the perceived merits of any legal proceedings or unasserted claims of the amount of relief sought or expected to be sought. If the assessment of a contingency suggests that a loss is probable, and the amount can be reliably estimated, then a loss is recorded. When a contingent loss is not probable but is reasonably possible, or it is probable but the amount cannot be reliably estimated, then details of the contingent loss are disclosed. Loss contingencies considered remote are generally not disclosed unless they involve guarantees, in which case we disclose the nature of the guarantee. Legal fees incurred with pending legal proceeding are expensed as incurred.

### 13. SEGMENTED INFORMATION

The Company's operating segments include one property in the Russian Federation (Mangazeisky) and a corporate office in Toronto, Canada.

As at June 30, 2010							
Country/Property	Cash and cash equivalents	Inventories	Prepaid expenses	Other current assets	Mineral properties	Property, plant and equipment	Net loss
Russia – Mangazeisky	\$ 159,879	\$ 1,186,090	\$ 18,303	\$ 470,367	\$ 1,265,117	\$ 1,534,606	\$ 490,130
Canada – corporate	10,874,693	-	6,230	15,939	-	44,042	1,212,214
	\$ 11,034,572	\$ 1,186,090	\$ 24,533	\$ 486,306	\$ 1,265,117	\$ 1,578,648	\$ 1,702,344

As at December 31, 2009							
Country/Property	Cash and cash equivalents	Inventories	Prepaid expenses	Other current assets	Mineral properties	Property, plant and equipment	Net loss
Russia – Mangazeisky	\$ 116,233	\$ 1,226,195	\$ 18,184	\$ 345,906	\$ 1,265,117	\$ 1,901,788	\$ 5,480,990
Canada – corporate	12,203,862	-	72,493	9,532	-	67,393	2,311,406
	\$ 12,320,095	\$ 1,226,195	\$ 90,677	\$ 355,438	\$ 1,265,117	\$ 1,969,181	\$ 7,792,396

### 14. ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

Asset retirement obligation consists of the following:

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
	\$	\$
Balance at the beginning of the period	\$ 608,725	\$ 570,711
Accretion	19,008	38,014
Balance, end of the period	\$ 627,733	\$ 608,725

The asset retirement obligation relates to the Mangaziesky project located in the Republic of Sakha, Yakutia in the Russian Federation. The Company initially estimated the fair value of the obligation to rehabilitate the site to be \$550,805 by discounting future cash flows at a credit adjusted risk free rate of 5.8%. Present value of gross payments that are due in 2012 is \$627,733. Management has used an inflation factor of 12.6% to determine future gross payments.

### 15. OTHER INCOME

The Company has applied for and received Russian Value Added Tax (VAT) refunds from prior periods which amounted to \$502,453 (RUB 15,129,985).

### 16. RECEIVABLES

	June 30, 2010	December 31, 2009
Russian Value Added Tax	\$ 469,415	\$ 343,782
Canadian Goods and Services Tax	14,862	-
Other	2,029	11,656
	\$ 486,306	\$ 355,438

## DIRECTORS

**The Honourable J. Trevor Eyton, O.C.** <sup>(1, 5, 6)</sup>

Non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors  
Former Member of the Senate of Canada

**William Biggar** <sup>(2, 4)</sup>

President and CEO  
North American Palladium Ltd.

**Dominic Gualtieri** <sup>(5)</sup>

Corporate Director

**Alexey Mikhaylovskiy** <sup>(6)</sup>

CEO, United Gold Company

**Cameron Mingay** <sup>(6)</sup>

Partner, Cassels Brock & Blackwell, LLP

**Randall Oliphant**

President and CEO, Silver Bear Resources Inc.  
Executive Chairman, New Gold Inc.

**Christopher Westdal** <sup>(3, 4, 5)</sup>

Consultant in International Affairs

1. *Chairman, Compensation Committee*
2. *Chairman, Audit Committee*
3. *Chairman, Governance and Environmental Committee*
4. *Member, Compensation Committee*
5. *Member, Audit Committee*
6. *Member, Governance and Environmental Committee*

Mr. Dzhulustan Borisov, a Director since 2004, will not stand for re-election to the Board in 2010, and will be retained as a Special Advisor to Silver Bear Resources.

## SENIOR MANAGEMENT

**Randall Oliphant**

President and Chief Executive Officer

**Brian Penny**

Chief Financial Officer and Corporate Secretary

**Randy Lewis**

Managing Director – Russia

**Greg Powell**

Corporate Controller

## TORONTO OFFICE

Royal Bank Plaza, South Tower  
200 Bay Street, Suite 3120, PO Box 167  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5J 2J4  
T. 416 324 6000

## TRANSFER AGENT

Computershare  
100 University Avenue, 8th Floor  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada M5J 2Y1  
[www.computershare.com](http://www.computershare.com)

## STOCK EXCHANGE LISTINGS

Toronto Stock Exchange (TSX:SBR)

## INVESTOR RELATIONS

Hannes Portmann  
[hportmann@silverbearresources.com](mailto:hportmann@silverbearresources.com)  
T. 416 324 6014

## AUDITORS

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada





**SILVER BEAR**  
RESOURCES INC.

200 Bay Street, South Tower  
Royal Bank Plaza, Suite 3120  
Toronto, ON M5J 2J4

[www.silverbearresources.com](http://www.silverbearresources.com)



UNITED MANAGEMENT STRENGTH WORKING TOGETHER  
POTENTIAL CANADA RUSSIA ALIGNED INTERESTS

UNITED MANAGEMENT STRENGTH WORKING TOGETHER  
CANADA RUSSIA ALIGNED INTERESTS

UNITED

